

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/671,409	09/27/2000	Markus Loose	00SC053US3	6802	
7590 09/19/2002			EXAMINER		
Koppel & Jacobs Suite 107			KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
555 St Charles Drive Thousand Oaks, CA 91360			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/671,409		LOOSE, MARKUS	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Chih-Cheng G	len Kao	2882	
_,	· The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	er sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
riad for	Penly				
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ISON (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ply within the statutory I will apply and will ex	minimum of thi	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com	munication.
tatus		August 2002			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u> Mugust 2002</u> . This action is no	n-final		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is no	r formal m	atters prosecution as to the	merits is
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except it er <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	yle, 1935 C	i.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
ispositi	on of Claims				
4)[]	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9 and 13-15</u> is/are pending ir	the application	doration		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from cons	ueration.		
5)					
6)🖸	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	uirement.		
	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	inei. tad or b\□ o	hiected to h	v the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	cepted of D) o	e held in ab	evance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>22</u>	April 2002 is: a	\⊳1 approv	ed b) disapproved by the	Examiner.
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>zz</u>	reply to this Office	e action.	, <u> </u>	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	Evaminer			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	<u>L</u> Xummor.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	oian priority unc	er 35 U.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eigh phoney and	00 0.0.		
a	n) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	anto hava haar	raceived		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum	leliks have beer	received i	n Application No.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	Jenis nave beer	ote have h	en received in this National	Stage
,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ied copies	not received.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority ur	der 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	- provisional ap	plication ha	is been received.	
Attachm					2(5)
1) [2] N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) o(s)	4)	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper N le of Informal Patent Application (P r:	o(s) · TO-152)
IS Patent a	nd Trademark Office	Action Cumma	rv.	Part o	of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arques et al. (US Patent 4948966) in view of Wilder et al. (US Patent 5262871).
- 2. Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 15, Arques et al. discloses a photodetector array with at least three rows and columns (Fig. 1) with two photodiodes (Fig. 1, "Da" and "Db") or subpixels, having an intrinsic capacitance storing the combined or aggregated output prior to being read (inherent), and a first circuit combining the output of the two photodiodes in parallel (Fig. 1, "Da" and "Db").

However, Arques et al. does not seem to specifically disclose a switching circuit to allowing switching between a circuit combining the output of the two photodiodes or subpixels in parallel and a circuit combining one of the photodiodes or subpixels in parallel with a photodiode subpixel of a neighboring pixel to switch between high and low resolutions, or switching between two and three photodiodes or subpixels, along with an addressing circuit to enable outputs in response to an address input.

Art Unit: 2882

Wilder et al. teaches a switching circuit to allowing switching between a circuit combining the output of the two photodiodes in parallel (col. 6, lines 7-11) and a circuit combining one of the photodiodes in parallel with a photodiode of a neighboring pixel (col. 6, lines 15-44) to switch between high and low resolutions (Abstract, lines 9-18) along with an addressing circuit to enable outputs in response to an address input (Abstract, lines 1-8).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the switching and addressing of Wilder et al. with the device of Arques et al., since one would be motivated to use the switching and addressing to provide lower resolution for higher speed capture as shown by Wilder et al. (Abstract, lines 9-13). One would be also motivated to use the switching and addressing to provide higher resolution to see greater detail.

Secondly, it would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have switching between two and three photodiodes or subpixels with the suggested device of Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al., since where the general conditions of a claim are disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art, as implied by Wilder et al. (col. 6, lines 7-9 and 40-44). It would have only been a matter of engineering efficiency to alter between 2, 3, 4, or any multiple of photodetectors, subpixels, or pixels. One would be motivated to combine the photodetectors or subpixels, or pixels to merge signals into superpixels to provide high speed data capture as shown by Wilder et al. (Abstract, lines 10-13).

Art Unit: 2882

3. Regarding claims 13 and 14, Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al. suggests a device as recited above.

However, Arques et al. does not seem to specifically disclose an array switchable between 1920 and 1080 rows or 1080 and 720 columns.

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have an array switchable between 1920 and 1080 row or 1080 and 720 columns with the suggested device of Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al., since it would have just been a matter of engineering expediency to choose a resolution that was considered high and a resolution that was considered low. Secondly, discovering the optimum or workable range for resolution involves only routine skill in the art. One would be motivated to have a resolution as high as 1920 rows or 1080 columns for greater detail in the image, while one would be motivated to have a resolution as low as 1080 rows or 720 columns for faster processing.

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Orava et al. (US Patent 5,812,191).

Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al. suggests a device as recited above.

However, Arques et al. does not seem to specifically disclose FET switches.

Orava et al. teaches FET switches (col. 4, lines 58-67, and col. 5, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have FET switches of Orava et al. with the suggested device of Arques et al. in view of Wilder et al., since FETs are functionally equivalent to the switches of Arques et

Art Unit: 2882

al. in that they are both switches. One would be motivated to use FETs to reduce components as shown by Orava et al. (col. 4, lines 58-63).

Response to Arguments

The indicated allowability of claims 1-3, 5, 9, and 13-15 are withdrawn in view of the 5. newly discovered reference(s) to Arques et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are as recited above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (703) 605-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th (8 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

gk September 11, 2002